

Pöhl paper on Economic union

2 questions:

- does EU presuppose further progress in the area of monetary independence?

- does minimum requirements in economic field (incl. economy + coordination) in order to allow EMS to be transformed into EMS?

EU + EMS are mutually dependent (implicitly recognised by the 2 questions)

kind of independence function of the willingness to transfer independence to centre

common market does not require a common currency or fixed rates; on the contrary, it. differences contribute to growth + if not more coordination, ex. r. have to be adaptable.

but monetary ex. r. (national market alone cap. of ex. r.)

paper remains vague
between the beginning
in a soft + hard Σ ;
except that there is
weaker.

→ in soft → coarser
beginning cannot justify?
What does that imply in
concrete terms.

→ in hard → further cases.
May have to include specific
elements (size of objects, location
of legs, feet, other movements)

- in structural case,
differentiable recovery.
What does that not have
to be coarsened or
could it involve from
ambiguous natural description?

had EU

→ control of macro management center shift rights
to central budget (which might assume additional
functions); but main part still with
national budgets; stronger independence in
have chance; more central spending items
(budget deficits, exp. & tax, debt management)
but only for small purposes; for the
rest the member states' autonomy can be
maintained. (p 12)

→ parameters affecting ec. structure, but a
social system not as comprehensive as
for purpose of overall ec. management;
information necessary to limit ^{needed for} transfers.

2) problems in the field of income formation

- direct intervention in wage & price formation and
reduction of distribution mechanisms has taken place
- extreme wage settlements avoided
- income policies gave wage to indirect influence on
the macroeconomy, organizational, tax and social policy
framework

in supl EU, these tendencies to be strengthened
with a view towards microeconomic
inflationary pressures

pay attention to wage components (social
security contributions, contribution to unemployment
benefit & pension insurance)
non-taxiff wage costs components
(social plans, dismissal protection...)

{ Council citizens.

- exaggeration of
pre-conditions $\rightarrow$ for instance,
more banks, or lower
interest increase volume
with respect to price
movement of capital
+ labour to those countries?

p 5

- at this stage also large measure of political harmonization

on 1 Aug 1962

decision $\rightarrow$ Hamiltonian $\hookrightarrow$ fixed ex. r. (= generalized force)

The necessary elements allowing these things can be observed by looking at soft & hard ec. mixture

c) 10 ft

the central piece is; not similar to, piece before

Eu, however, can be achieved (closely approached?) without an, but even that is very difficult because

- it requires some structure of technology

high market $\rightarrow$ necessary to increase ^{supply} ~~supply~~ to consumers

i.e. regulatory policies (competition, taxation, environment, health, consumer protection, banking policy) and related economic, company & social legislation at C level

possibly, also strengthen C regulations, government
regional & industrial policies and need of
C institutions to prevent structural & industrial
conflicts

p 5 This opens up some local distribution.

Cotigen $\rightarrow$ may include the

dealing with single market: conflicts have arisen
distribution of benefits (increased
productivity), as always
to ethical premium factor.

- can income disparities
be remedied by ex. v.
adjustment?

- does stock taking imply
that the market programme
not likely to be implemented?

- if economic costs difficult
or acknowledged by few
of citizens, effects from cost
benefit, how can a consensus
on the policy stance with respect
to the working of the economy
be reached?

- 1986

→ cost market (regulation
measures)

→ broad agreement on policies

→ similar policy stance

= closely monitored at
conferences

but still ex. v. adjustment

1988

→ much stricter policy harmoni-
sation through
binding coordination of
policies

→ adjustment through
wages and employment,
determined by market mechanism

single market requires harmonisation of macro-policy

if soft → agreement on policy goals; discussing & agreeing
on adjustment

in principle
already
active
members
of Community

known reflect different adjustment properties
of economies

State of integration

- customs union but border control;
 - no free movement for many occupational groups
 - restrictions in finance (competition, financial flows)
 - thus → free movement of goods, capital & labour not achieved
-
- discrepancies in policies & regulations pressure macro-structure
 - a) (discrimination in favour of national economy in public procurement, differing industrial standards, environmental & consumer protection policies)
 - b) affecting competition, structural & regional policies
 - c) policies w.r.t. to third countries
-
- harmonisation existing: consensus on the policy stance with respect to the working of the economy
(which combination of price stability, employment, growth, lower inflation is attainable)
with national "preferences" concerning only slowly, be realistic

- is it really necessary
that all countries are
cyclically in step if
it is impossible to justify
common goals?

2 basic elements of an economic union

a) macroeconomic policies

1) monetary policy

issues discussed in the context of the EMS at present will become even more pronounced in the process of completing an internal market ~~union~~

- present degree of monetary policy coordination enough to enable the internal market to function

(in a soft EU)

more needs to be done in hard EU

2) budgetary policies

EU (whether soft or hard) requires coordination of financial policies for overall economic management:

- avoid conflicting financial policies (interest - imbalances!)
- also important for ~~the~~ better stance (e.g., tax not imbalances) and for structural reasons
- harmonize taxation, expenditure policies

in soft EU → coordination of member countries' financial policies beyond the existing guidelines, in line with medium-term strategy

but pragmatic: common cyclical position

although room for national "policy mixes".

in a hard EU : - European management + labour would have to give wage policy to productivity and accept the risk of nominal price increases

- capital + qualified labour will move to areas with higher returns; disciplining pressure on wage differentials

- competitive disadvantages could be mitigated by wage adjustments; thus wage flexibility needed

b) problems in macro-ec. area

1) Regulatory and competition policies

in hard EU → fills considerable basic ideas about the role of the government in ec. activity

(role of state enterprise, government control in research + technical development, protection against private monopoly influences)

competition → control over private market power (cartels, merger monitoring)

2) Regional + structural policies

question of prosperity differences, spread of undergrowth

soft EU → differences are mitigated by adaptable ex. rates

differences have to be reduced by regional + structural policies at European level; resources of structural funds have to be increased, particularly in hard EU; perhaps horizontal financial compensation between member states

but do not promote uncompetitive production facilities

3. monumental protection

effect on location; split at product level, cannot run counter to single market

Standardisation of monumental protection regulation

External relations of Community

- no pretext for protectionist measures; open trade up-to-us, that counter

4.

Institutional requirements + horizontal policies

(decision-making mechanisms + bodies)

development of / C institutions / in line with integration; otherwise credibility of integration not assured

soft EU → ^{believe in} inevitability of integration process a necessary prerequisite for ~~not~~ regaining the benefit of the single market through appropriate C-E decisions of enterprises & households in power vacuum or confusion of responsibilities

hard EU → much higher degree of credibility; coordination to such an extent that market excludes policy adjustments and that regional discrepancies are limited by transfers that can be achieved in principle by agreement, behaviour or formal financial rules but explicit transfer of broad economic making better logical problems so far in macro policy, therefore not only national coordinating mechanisms in monetary policy

different legal questions: interpretation of relevant standards of Treaty; democratic authority of Parliament.

Proposition 6 Oct. section

Working session

27K GC Dravel

- ~~fructus~~ ~~hypoc.~~ ~~pyra~~

- " ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~un~~ ^{un} ~~recommen~~ ^{recommen} ~~dique~~ ^{dique} paper

Chimney

- ~~Chairman~~ to those he understands

- highly noble

- final question $\rightarrow$ which mechanism is in p.p.m.

Chloralhydrate

be function properly.

Keep Pri + EU apcol

- The same subject of ec. course

- if time ~~knowledge~~ of the 4 - feedback

↓

↓
If not, in Nov → will have a paper

Numbers

Two papers submitted

Principle $\rightarrow$

this technique is ~~the~~ has to be in
advance ~~the~~

works against a 2 kg $\rightarrow$ - above the threshold of
central bank

- some TV compliance action
for final stage