

Working version

28th March

language has not responded:

leave Part I as drafted now, but not a
second report because in Part I it does not
explain the advantages of ERM;

6 different points

- Location 5 times
- Participants 3 " → pre-work
- ECU
- Phase II

16

Have a page on ERM on reasons; if everything goes well;

CP, 40% Marketing other
Chiffre
Name

review table

p 8

17 L-P

p 10

22

L-P, Giorgi, P. 22

para 26²

p 17

lap

L-P + Doyle

p 19

Gene P. 22

p 21

~~P. 22~~ L-P

p 24

L-P

four phases

p 30

para 4P

Doyle

para 26²

in the process of revision

document

substitution

p 33

para 56

secondly, Pohl, Doyle

- cannot include other in the 2 Treaty

- the 4 passage has to be in place for moving

↓ from the stage 1 to 2;

↓

unambiguous

→ with
beginning
of phase 2.

legal possibilities → 30, 43

56

See → has the potential → should

- Treaty 3

- Peremptory 1

See as clear

• - Phase 5

• 17 → Years (4)

~~28~~ " ~~35~~

28 " 42 →

(4)

possible

29 " 46 →

possible

→

once on Federal
track.

30 " 47 →

keep

32 " 54 →

3

(4)

34 " 57 →

1.54

Account with
under 62

36 " 60 →

(4)

38 " 63 → 660

~~41~~ " ~~68 = 68?~~

42 " 72 →

Years

(4)

Pelagicus

30 para 4p

33 para 56

on paragonia

on 4p elimination

2nd phase

ECU p 11 bebe and

p 21 para 34 chik long

p 22 para 45 2nd phase

p 54 C-P elimination

8, 17 LP

10, 22 LP

page 17

X2 unique process.

XG $\rightarrow$ to the end $\rightarrow$ 2 opposite values

$\downarrow$
Rocks + Poles

72 need a theory in first place

low
D. 1. 6.

middle with
amendment

Transmission → over regional level, should it be
practical,

and to Geneva

and for structure to level of state

- Sec. 2000

- Transfer of Ec. Policy Committee



