Optimal Exchange Rate Policy in a Growing Semi-Open Economy

Philippe Bacchetta¹² Kenza Benhima¹ Yannick Kalantzis³

¹University of Lausanne

 $^{2}CFPR$

³Banque de France

Frankfurt, June 27th-28th, 2013

Disclaimer: the views expressed in this presentation are those of the speaker and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Banque de France.

The debate on China's exchange rate policy



▲□>
□
▲□>

The debate on China's exchange rate policy



▲圖▶ 臣 ▲臣▶

Liabilities of the Central Bank



▲ ■ < ■ < ■ >

Liabilities of the Central Bank



the Central Bank has supplied large amounts of saving instruments to the private sector

The semi-open economy



▲御戸 臣 ▲臣区

★週→ 注:★注→

Real and intertemporal approach

- current account \leftarrow private saving
- RER \leftarrow relative price of N goods

Real and intertemporal approach

• current account \leftarrow private saving

• RER \leftarrow relative price of N goods

[standard Obstfeld-Rogoff type model]

▲御→ 三臣 ▲臣→

Real and intertemporal approach

- current account \leftarrow private saving
- RER \leftarrow relative price of N goods

[standard Obstfeld-Rogoff type model]

Underdeveloped financial system

- borrowing constraint
- low supply of saving instruments
- excess private saving

▲圖▶ ――― ▲ ―― ▶

Real and intertemporal approach

current account ← private saving

• RER ← relative price of N goods

[standard Obstfeld-Rogoff type model]

Underdeveloped financial system

- borrowing constraint
- low supply of saving instruments
- excess private saving

[Caballero et al. (2008), Mendoza et al. (2009), Song et al. (2009), ...]

▲圖▶ ――― ▲ 臣 ▶

Real and intertemporal approach

- current account ← private saving
- RER \leftarrow relative price of N goods

[standard Obstfeld-Rogoff type model]

Underdeveloped financial system

- borrowing constraint
- low supply of saving instruments
- excess private saving

[Caballero et al. (2008), Mendoza et al. (2009), Song et al. (2009), ...]



- private sector has no access to int'l financial market
- only Central Bank does
- optimal Central Bank policy

Real and intertemporal approach

- current account ← private saving
- RER ← relative price of N goods

[standard Obstfeld-Rogoff type model]

Underdeveloped financial system

- borrowing constraint
- low supply of saving instruments
- excess private saving

[Caballero et al. (2008), Mendoza et al. (2009), Song et al. (2009), ...]



- private sector has no access to int'l financial market
- only Central Bank does
- optimal Central Bank policy

[Jeanne (2012), Bacchetta, Benhima, Kalantzis (2013)]

Central Bank policy



▲御→ 臣 ▲臣→

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- provide saving instruments to private sector

4@→ E < E→</p>

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- provide saving instruments to private sector

• reserves are superior to private flows

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- provide saving instruments to private sector
- reserves are superior to private flows

Real exchange rate

◆□ → 三三 → 三→

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- · provide saving instruments to private sector
- reserves are superior to private flows

Real exchange rate • depreciation in short run, appreciation in long run

★週 ▶ 二臣 - ★臣 ▶

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- provide saving instruments to private sector
- reserves are superior to private flows

Real exchange rate

- depreciation in short run, appreciation in long run
- \bullet stronger financial friction \rightarrow larger initial depreciation

◆□ → 三三 → 三→

Optimal policy in a fast-growing economy

Central Bank balance-sheet

- accumulate reserves
- provide saving instruments to private sector
- reserves are superior to private flows

Real exchange rate

- depreciation in short run, appreciation in long run
- \bullet stronger financial friction \rightarrow larger initial depreciation
- dynamics close to open economy with private flows

◆聞→ 注: ◆注→

Related literature on the role for Government intervention

This paper

overcome borrowing constraint and get optimal supply of saving instruments

< /₽ > 三

< ∃ >

Related literature on the role for Government intervention

This paper

overcome borrowing constraint and get optimal supply of saving instruments

Pecuniary externality

Macroprudential policy: Bianchi 2011, Korinek 2011, Benigno et al. 2012, Cespedes et al. 2012

< ∃ >

Related literature on the role for Government intervention

This paper

overcome borrowing constraint and get optimal supply of saving instruments

Pecuniary externality

Macroprudential policy: Bianchi 2011, Korinek 2011, Benigno et al. 2012, Cespedes et al. 2012

Growth externality in sector T

Reserve accumulation and currency depreciation: Korinek and Serven 2011, Benigno and Fornaro 2012

★課→ 注: ★注→

Outline









1. Model



Two-good real economy: N, T, relative price p_t

Two-good real economy: N, T, relative price p_t

Two groups of infinitely-lived households as in Woodford (1990)

Two-good real economy: N, T, relative price p_t

Two groups of infinitely-lived households as in Woodford (1990)

Households have endowments Y_t^T , Y_t^N that fluctuate between high and low value

Two-good real economy: N, T, relative price p_t

Two groups of infinitely-lived households as in Woodford (1990)

Households have endowments Y_t^T , Y_t^N that fluctuate between high and low value

Ramsey planner: the Central Bank

Total endowment: $Y_t = Y_t^T + p_t Y_t^N$

Total endowment: $Y_t = Y_t^T + p_t Y_t^N$

Two groups of households

$$\begin{array}{cccc} t & t+1 & t+2 & \dots \\ \text{first group} & Y_t & aY_{t+1} & Y_{t+2} \\ \text{second group} & aY_t & Y_{t+1} & aY_{t+2} \end{array}$$

Total endowment: $Y_t = Y_t^T + p_t Y_t^N$

Two groups of households

$$\begin{array}{cccc} t & t+1 & t+2 & \dots \\ \text{first group} & Y_t & aY_{t+1} & Y_{t+2} \\ \text{second group} & aY_t & Y_{t+1} & aY_{t+2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Catching-up:} \ Y_{t+1}^i = (1+g_{t+1})Y_t^i & \text{ for } i=N, T\\ \text{ with } g_{t+1} = \mu g_t, & 0 \leq \mu < 1, & \textit{a}(1+g_{t+1}) < 1 \end{array}$$

▲御→ 三臣 ▲臣→

Total endowment: $Y_t = Y_t^T + p_t Y_t^N$

Two groups of households

$$\begin{array}{cccc} t & t+1 & t+2 & \dots \\ \text{first group} & Y_t & aY_{t+1} & Y_{t+2} \\ \text{second group} & aY_t & Y_{t+1} & aY_{t+2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Catching-up:} \ Y_{t+1}^i = (1+g_{t+1})Y_t^i & \text{ for } i=N, \, T \\ \text{ with } g_{t+1} = \mu g_t, & 0 \leq \mu < 1, & \textit{a}(1+g_{t+1}) < 1 \end{array}$$

 \sim

Households maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t^T, c_t^N)$$

▲御▶ 一臣 - ▲臣▶

Household with high endowment in period t (cash-rich)


Household with high endowment in period t (cash-rich)

Budget constraints

$$Y_t - r_t L_t + \pi_t/2 = c_t^{AT} + p_t c_t^{AN} + A_{t+1}$$

Household with high endowment in period t (cash-rich)

Budget constraints

$$Y_t - r_t L_t + \pi_t/2 = c_t^{AT} + p_t c_t^{AN} + A_{t+1}$$

$$aY_{t+1} + r_{t+1}A_{t+1} + \pi_{t+1}/2 = c_{t+1}^{LT} + p_{t+1}c_{t+1}^{LN} - L_{t+2}$$

Household with high endowment in period t (cash-rich)

Budget constraints

$$Y_t - r_t L_t + \pi_t / 2 = c_t^{AT} + p_t c_t^{AN} + A_{t+1}$$

$$aY_{t+1} + r_{t+1}A_{t+1} + \pi_{t+1}/2 = c_{t+1}^{LT} + p_{t+1}c_{t+1}^{LN} - L_{t+2}$$

Credit constraint

 $r_{t+2}L_{t+2} \le \phi Y_{t+2}$

▲御▶ 一臣 - ▲臣▶

Insufficient supply of saving instruments

Bond market

cash-rich hh: natural lenders

cash-poor hh: natural borrowers

Insufficient supply of saving instruments

Bond market

cash-rich hh: natural lenders

cash-poor hh: natural borrowers

Credit constraint

low supply of assets by borrowers

high demand of assets by lenders

< / 健 ト □ 三

< ∃⇒

Insufficient supply of saving instruments

Bond market

cash-rich hh: natural lenders

cash-poor hh: natural borrowers

Credit constraint

low supply of assets by borrowers

high demand of assets by lenders

role for provision of assets by the Central Bank

< □ > 三 < 三 >

Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[u(c_t^{AT}, c_t^{AN}) + u(c_t^{LT}, c_t^{LN}) \right]$$

Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[u(c_{t}^{AT}, c_{t}^{AN}) + u(c_{t}^{LT}, c_{t}^{LN}) \right]$$

Issues domestic assets B_{t+1} , that pay domestic interest rate r_{t+1}



Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[u(c_{t}^{AT}, c_{t}^{AN}) + u(c_{t}^{LT}, c_{t}^{LN}) \right]$$

Issues domestic assets B_{t+1} , that pay domestic interest rate r_{t+1} Buys foreign reserves B_{t+1}^* , that pay world interest rate $r^* = \beta^{-1}$

Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[u(c_{t}^{AT}, c_{t}^{AN}) + u(c_{t}^{LT}, c_{t}^{LN}) \right]$$

Issues domestic assets B_{t+1} , that pay domestic interest rate r_{t+1} Buys foreign reserves B_{t+1}^* , that pay world interest rate $r^* = \beta^{-1}$

Distribute profits period by period: $\pi_t = (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (r_t - 1)B_t$

Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[u(c_{t}^{AT}, c_{t}^{AN}) + u(c_{t}^{LT}, c_{t}^{LN}) \right]$$

Issues domestic assets B_{t+1} , that pay domestic interest rate r_{t+1} Buys foreign reserves B_{t+1}^* , that pay world interest rate $r^* = \beta^{-1}$

Distribute profits period by period: $\pi_t = (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (r_t - 1)B_t$ Assuming $B_0^* = B_0$, we have $B_t^* = B_t$

Ramsey planner with social objective

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[u(c_{t}^{AT}, c_{t}^{AN}) + u(c_{t}^{LT}, c_{t}^{LN}) \right]$$

Issues domestic assets B_{t+1} , that pay domestic interest rate r_{t+1} Buys foreign reserves B_{t+1}^* , that pay world interest rate $r^* = \beta^{-1}$

Distribute profits period by period: $\pi_t = (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (r_t - 1)B_t$ Assuming $B_0^* = B_0$, we have $B_t^* = B_t$

Two special cases: $\begin{cases} \text{ closed economy } (B^* = 0, p = \text{constant}) \\ \text{ open economy } (r = r^* = \text{constant}) \end{cases}$

2. Theoretical insights

Real exchange rate

Households maximize

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\beta^{s}u(c_{s}^{T},c_{s}^{N})$$

Separable iso-elastic utility $u(c_s^T, c_s^N) = v(c_s^T) + \kappa v(c_s^N)$

-

with
$$v(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$
 for $\sigma \neq 1$
 $v(c) = \ln c$ for $\sigma = 1$

Real exchange rate

Households maximize

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\beta^{s}u(c_{s}^{T},c_{s}^{N})$$

Separable iso-elastic utility $u(c_s^T, c_s^N) = v(c_s^T) + \kappa v(c_s^N)$

-

with
$$v(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$
 for $\sigma \neq 1$
 $v(c) = \ln c$ for $\sigma = 1$

$$\text{Real exchange rate} \quad p_t = \kappa \left[\frac{c_t^{AT} + c_t^{LT}}{(1+a)Y_t^N} \right]^{\sigma}$$

▲御戸 臣 ▲臣区

Link between reserves and exchange rate

$$\rho_t = \kappa \left[\frac{(1+a)Y_t^T + (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (B_{t+1}^* - B_t^*)}{(1+a)Y_t^N} \right]^{\sigma}$$

Link between reserves and exchange rate

$$p_t = \kappa \left[\frac{(1+a)Y_t^T + (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (B_{t+1}^* - B_t^*)}{(1+a)Y_t^N} \right]^{\sigma}$$



Transition

 p_t decreases with reserves accumulation

▲御戸 臣 ▲臣区

Link between reserves and exchange rate

$$p_t = \kappa \left[\frac{(1+a)Y_t^T + (r^* - 1)B_t^* - (B_{t+1}^* - B_t^*)}{(1+a)Y_t^N} \right]^{\sigma}$$



Transition

 p_t decreases with reserves accumulation

Steady state

 p_t increases with B^*/Y^N

4₫> E < E>

Equilibrium in bond market

$$A_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) = L_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) + B_{t+1}$$

Equilibrium in bond market

$$A_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) = L_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) + B_{t+1}^*$$

Equilibrium in bond market

$$A_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) = L_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) + B_{t+1}^*$$

▶ An increase in B^* leads to a higher r_{t+1} to clear the market

Equilibrium in bond market

$$A_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) = L_{t+1}(r_{t+1},\phi) + B_{t+1}^*$$

▶ An increase in B^* leads to a higher r_{t+1} to clear the market

 True even in the steady state as long as B*/Y^T lower than some threshold (binding borrowing constraint)

First-order condition w.r.t. B_{t+1}^* :

$$-\left(\gamma_t^{\mathsf{G}} - \gamma_{t+1}^{\mathsf{G}}\right) + \beta r_{t+1} \frac{\Lambda_{t+1}}{2} = 0$$

★週→ 注目 ★注→

First-order condition w.r.t. B_{t+1}^* :



First-order condition w.r.t. B_{t+1}^* :



First-order condition w.r.t. B_{t+1}^* :



(本語)と、 臣、 不臣)と

Steady state

- optimal to accumulate reserves when ϕ small
- but Central Bank cannot do better than the open economy

Steady state

- optimal to accumulate reserves when ϕ small
- but Central Bank cannot do better than the open economy

Growth transition

with binding constraints, the Central Bank can do better than the open economy

Steady state

- optimal to accumulate reserves when ϕ small
- but Central Bank cannot do better than the open economy

Growth transition

with binding constraints, the Central Bank can do better than the open economy

 achieve transfers to constrained agents: thru interest rate and exchange rate channel

▲ □ ト □ - ▲ □ ト

Steady state

- optimal to accumulate reserves when ϕ small
- but Central Bank cannot do better than the open economy

Growth transition

with binding constraints, the Central Bank can do better than the open economy

- achieve transfers to constrained agents: thru interest rate and exchange rate channel
- correct for pecuniary externality

3. Simulations

Growth acceleration

- Start from steady state
- At t = 0, positive growth shock: $g_0 = 10\%$
- Other parameters

κ	3	N=3/4 of C
ϕ	0.1	strong borrowing constraint
а	0	high income volatility
β	1/1.05	$r^* - 1 = 5\%$
μ	0.9	sustained growth
σ	1	log-utility

Growth acceleration



<個→ 注 <注→

Growth acceleration



▲御→ 注: ▲注→

Sensitivity checks

- Smaller growth persistence μ : smaller and shorter depreciation
- Same with larger a: smaller need for saving
Sensitivity checks

- Smaller growth persistence μ : smaller and shorter depreciation
- Same with larger a: smaller need for saving
- Larger κ and σ : larger depreciation (real exchange rate more sensitive to relative changes in consumption)

Sensitivity checks

- Smaller growth persistence μ : smaller and shorter depreciation
- Same with larger a: smaller need for saving
- Larger κ and σ: larger depreciation (real exchange rate more sensitive to relative changes in consumption)
- Assume only N goods are collateral: $r_{t+2}L_{t+2} \le \phi^N p_{t+2}^N Y_{t+2}^N$ Little change in results \Rightarrow pecuniary externality has little effect

Conclusion

- analyze optimal exchange rate policy in a dynamic model with features observed in the Chinese economy
- in growth-acceleration episode, optimal to accumulate international reserves and initially depreciate the real exchange rate
- if our analysis is correct, it is optimal to see the RMB on an appreciating path
- this appreciation is not due to a Balassa-Samuelson effect, but to the presence of financial frictions

Krugman: "The issue whose time has passed"

Chinese real effective exchange rate



Source: IMF, CPI-based real effective exchange rate

<日→ 注 < 注)

Optimal Exchange Rate Policy in a Growing Semi-Open Economy

Philippe Bacchetta ¹² Kenza Benhima ¹ Yannick Kalantzis ³

¹University of Lausanne

²CEPR

³Banque de France

Frankfurt, June 27th-28th, 2013

▲ □ > □ = □ < □ >