



General Information (Origin of Request) ☐ User Requirements (URD) ☐ Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)					
Request raised by: Clearstream	Institute:	CSD	Date raised: 10/11/2015		
Request title: T2S should allow CSDs to renunder CoSD	in instructions	Request ref. no: T2S 0565 SYS			
Request type: Common		Urgency: Fast-track			
1. Legal/business importance parameter: High		2. Market implementation efforts parameter: Low			
3. Operational/Technical risk parameter: Low		4. Financial impact parameter: Medium			
Requestor Category: CSD		Status: Author	orised at Steering Level		

Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

The Conditional Securities Delivery (COSD) functionality in T2S allows connecting the T2S settlement process to additional activities being performed outside of T2S. Main usage is external CSD settlement and settlement in currencies not supported by T2S.

Via COSD Rules, T2S Actors can trigger that securities or cash is reserved on T2S, as basis to trigger the external activity. Once the external activity is concluded, the T2S Actors will release the COSD Hold on the instruction, so that the settlement in T2S can be concluded.

In this process, it is crucial that there are no other potential factors blocking the successful settlement after such a COSD release. This is of particular importance for External Settlement with an OUT-CSD. Namely, based on the COSD reservation, the instruction in the Out-CSD is released for settlement, and then the positions are debited from the omnibus account in the OUT-CSD. As next step, the positions must also be debited from the CSD Participants account where they have been reserved, to ensure consistency between the omnibus positions and the holdings of the CSD Participants. If this process is blocked, it leads to massive operational problems in the CSDs.

To avoid such problems, T2S already foresees a number of limitations for instructions subject to COSD. For example, it is not allowed to put them on hold, or to modify them. Moreover, cancellations must be instructed only by COSD Administering Parties or by the CSD.

There is a gap, however, with regards to the interaction of COSD and linkage. Namely, an instruction subject to COSD can still be linked with other instructions, and if such a linked instruction cannot settle, e.g. because of hold or lack of securities, then this would also block the settlement of the instruction for which the COSD release was applied.

T2S functionality for non-reciprocal links:

This issue of linkages preventing the settlement of instructions under COSD was discussed in the Change Review Group meetings on 17/18 September 2015, and subsequently on 28 October 2015 and on 15 December 2015.

It was clarified that existing T2S functionally already partially covers this situation. Namely, if a settlement instruction under COSD hold has a non-reciprocal link to another instruction/restriction T2S will report the following two existing business rules:

- SPST023: A settlement instruction cannot be sub-mitted to a settlement attempt if another instruction which is 'CoSD on Hold' states a link WITH or BEFORE this instruction.
- SPST024: A settlement restriction cannot be sub-mitted to a settlement attempt if another instruction which is 'CoSD on Hold' states a link WITH or BEFORE this instruction.

Actually two distinct cases of non-reciprocal links need to be addressed by this CR:

- 1. An instruction under CoSD states a link to another settlement instruction or restriction
 - O This is covered by the existing business rules above
 - For this case, CSDs must be able to remove the linkages to ensure that instructions under CoSD are not prevented from settling. This is handled with the proposal below for Reciprocal links also to enable the CSDs to remove the linkage on an instruction subject to COSD.
- 2. A settlement instruction or restriction states a link to an instruction under CoSD Hold
 - This is also covered by the existing business rules but these business rules do not accurately describe or depict this case
 - o As such, two new business rules should be created to trigger an eligibility failure for settlement

- instructions and restrictions with non-reciprocal links to an instruction on CoSD Hold
- The new business rules will state that such a link will not prevent the settlement of the instruction on CoSD Hold

Requested change for reciprocal links:

For some CSDs, the potential scenario that linkage might block settlement of a COSD released settlement instruction for External CSD settlement should be avoided via an adjustment of T2S logic. Other CSDs use COSD mainly in the context of foreign currency settlement, and their focus in on a resolution of the issue in the foreign currency settlement process. On the other hand, the solution should not apply any general limitation to usage of COSD in other business contexts.

It is therefore suggested to tackle the issue in a generic way. Namely, by enabling the CSDs to remove the linkage on an instruction subject to COSD, i.e. to partially waive the related restriction that instructions under COSD might not be amended. In this way, CSDs can, if required due to their specific embodiment of a business process using COSD, actively remove linkage stated in instructions subject to COSD, and thus avoid that such linkage would block the settlement of a COSD instruction after the COSD release.

Description of requested change:

Business rule MMIA009 should be updated in the following way along with the inclusion of two additional business rules SPST028 and SPST029 should be added to consider settlement instructions and restrictions with links to an instruction on CoSD Hold:

Submitted annexes / related documents

Document "Linkage must not prevent settlement of instructions subject to COSD" from CRG meeting of 17-18 September 2015:

http://www.ecb.europa.eu/paym/t2s/progress/pdf/tg/crg/crg52/06.COSD_linking.pdf

4CB presentation on the proposed solution, containing explanation of T2S functionality for non-reciprocal links on instructions subject to COSD:

http://www.ecb.europa.eu/paym/t2s/progress/pdf/tg/crg/crg58/04.cr-565 presentaion.pdf

Proposed wording for the SYS Change request:

The following UDFS v2.1 section should be modified:

1.6.1.4 Instruction Amendment, page 322

To update the conditions for the denial of an amendment instruction.

T2S accepts and processes an Amendment Instruction sent by a T2S Actor when it successfully passes the Business Validation process (see section <u>1.6.1.1 "Business Validation"</u>), unless any of the following conditions is fulfilled:

 The Settlement Status of the Referenced Settlement Instruction or Settlement Restriction is "Settled" or "Cancelled";

Request: T2S 0565 SYS

- The Referenced Settlement Instruction is identified as CoSD and the Amendment Instruction does not aim to remove a linkage having the CSD as the Instructing Party (See section 1.6.1.12 "Conditional Settlement");
- The referenced Settlement Instruction or Settlement Restriction is partially settled and the Amendment Instruction refers to a process indicator other than "Priority".

2.8 Send Amendment Instruction of a Settlement Instruction or of a Settlement Restriction on Securities Position, page 856

To update the conditions for the denial of an amendment instruction.

2.8.3.2 Referenced Instruction Status Check

T2S checks the status of the Referenced Instruction to identify if the Amendment Instruction can be executed. The result of this check can be:

- [Referenced Instruction Status Check NOK] If the Referenced Instruction fulfils any of the following conditions:
 - The Settlement Status of the Referenced Settlement Instruction or Settlement Restriction is "Settled":
 - The Settlement Status of the Referenced Settlement Instruction or Settlement Restriction is "Partially Settled" and the modification does not refer to "Priority";
 - The Cancellation Status of the Referenced Settlement Instruction or Settlement Restriction is "Cancelled";
 - The Referenced Settlement Instruction is identified as CoSD <u>and the Amendment Instruction does not aim to remove a linkage having the CSD as the Instructing Party.</u>

4.1 Index of Business Rules and Error Codes, page 1720

BR Name	Description	Inbound	Reply	Code USE	Reason	Error Text
		Message	Message		Code	

MMIA009	The CoSD Flag of a Settlement Instruction referenced by an Amendment Instruction must not be 'Yes', except when the Instructing Party is a CSD and the Amendment Instruction aims to remove the linkage from a settlement instruction.	sese.030	sese.031	<dnd></dnd>	OTHR	Settlement Instruction referenced by an Amendment Instruction is in a CoSD Group.
SPST028	A settlement Instruction cannot be submitted to a settlement attempt if it states a link WITH, BEFORE or AFTER an instruction that is under CoSD Hold where this latter has no link to this instruction. Such a link will not prevent the settlement of the instruction on CoSD Hold.	n/a	<u>sese.024</u>	<pdg></pdg>	LINK	Settlement Instruction is unsettled because it states a link WITH, BEFORE or AFTER an instruction that is under CoSD Hold without any links to this instruction.
SPST029	A settlement restriction cannot be submitted to a settlement attempt if it states a link WITH, BEFORE or AFTER an instruction that is under CoSD Hold where this latter has no link to this instruction. Such a link will not prevent the settlement of the instruction on CoSD Hold.	n/a	<u>semt.014</u>	< <u>Pdg</u> >	LINK	Settlement Restriction is unsettled because it states a link WITH, BEFORE or AFTER an instruction that is under CoSD Hold without any links to this instruction.

The following GFS v5.1 sections should be modified:

3.4.4 Instruction Maintenance, page 227

To update the conditions for the denial of an amendment instruction.

"Amendment Instructions to be Denied"

١		
	Reference Id	LCMM.IMA.INA.2.1

This step denies the execution of an *Amendment Instruction* when one of the following business rules is fulfilled **{T2S.05.390}**:

BUSINESS RULES	RESULTING AMENDMENT INSTRUCTION'S REASON CODE REFERENCE
The referenced Settlement Instruction is identified as CoSD and the Amendment Instruction does not aim to remove a linkage having the CSD as the Instructing Party.	"Referenced Settlement Instruction identified as CoSD"

The following UHB v2.1 sections should be modified:

2.2.2.4 Amendment Securities Instruction - New Screen, page 108

Submit	I MMIA006 I MMIA009
	I MVCM952

Reference for error message	Field or Button	Error Text	Description
<u>MMIA009</u>	<u>I Submit button</u>	referenced by an Amendment Instruction is in a CoSD Group.	The CoSD Flag of a Settlement Instruction referenced by an Amendment Instruction must not be 'Yes', except when the Instructing Party is a CSD and the Amendment Instruction aims to remove the linkage from a settlement instruction.

High level description of Impact:

Outcome/Decisions:

- *CRG teleconference of 12 November 2015: CRG decided to put the Change Request on hold for future Releases.
- *CRG meeting of 15 December 2015: The CRG decided to put the Change Request on hold and the CRG agreed to rediscussed the CR in the February 2016 CRG meeting.
- * CRG meeting on 8-9 February 2016: The CRG identified the Change Request as a candidate for the Release 1.3. The CRG agreed to re-discuss the Change Request in the next CRG teleconference on 24 February 2016 when deciding on the list of Change Requests for Release 1.3.
- * CRG teleconference of 24 February 2016: The CRG decided to put the Change Request on hold and include the Change Request as candidate for Release 1.3.
- * CRG meeting of 10 March 2016: The CRG decided to put the Change Request on hold.
- * CRG teleconference of 24 March 2016: The CRG recommended the Change Request for detailed assessment.
- * Advisory Group on 06 April 2016: In a written procedure from 31 March 2016 to 06 April 2016, the Advisory Group was in favor of launching the detailed assessment on the Change Request.
- * CSD Steering Group on 07 April 2016: In a written procedure from 31 March 2016 to 07 April 2016, the CSD Steering Group was in favor of launching the detailed assessment on the Change Request.
- * OMG on 8 April 2016: During a written procedure from 31 March 2016 to 8 April 2016, the Operations Managers Group did not identify any operational impact.
- * CRG meeting on 6/7 July 2016: The CRG recommended the approval of Change Request and its inclusion in the T2S Release 1.3, in principle subject to feasibility of delivery for Release 1.3.
- * OMG on 6 July 2016: During a written procedure from 30 June 2016 to 06 July 2016, the Operations Managers Group did not identify any operational impact.
- * OMG in a written procedure from 5 to 12 August 2016: The OMG was in favour of adding the Change Request to the T2S Release 1.3.
- * Advisory Group on 18 August 2016: Following a written procedure from 12 to 18 August 2016, the AG was in favour of approving the Change Request.
- * CSD Steering Group on 19 August 2016: Following a written procedure from 12 to 19 August 2016, the CSG adopted the resolution to approve the Change Request.
- * Advisory Group on 20 September 2016: Following a written procedure from 14 to 20 September 2016, the AG was in favour of inclusion of Change Request in T2S Release 1.3.
- * CSD Steering Group on 21 September 2016: During the CSG meeting on 21 September 2016, the CSG adopted the resolution to include the Change Request in T2S Release 1.3.

EUROSYSTEN ANALYSIS – GENERAL INFORMATION

Request: T2S 0565 SYS

	Static data management	Interface
	Party data management	Communication
	Securities data management	Outbound processing
	T2S Dedicated Cash account data	Inbound processing
	management	
	Securities account data management	
	Rules and parameters data	
	management	
	Settlement	Liquidity management
	x Standardisation and preparation to	Outbound Information Management
Impact	settlement	Gutbourid information Management
On T2S	Night-time Settlement	NCB Business Procedures
123	Daytime Recycling and optimisation	Liquidity Operations
	Daytime Validation, provisioning &	LCMM
	booking	
	Auto-collateralisation	Instructions validation
		Status management
	Operational services	Instruction matching
	Data Migration	x Instructions maintenance
	Scheduling	Statistics, queries reports and archive
	Billing	Report management
	Operational monitoring	Query management
		Statistical information
		Legal archiving
	All modules (Infrastructure request)	
	No modules (infrastructure request)	
	Business operational activities	
	Technical operational activities	

Impact on major of	documentation	
Document	Chapter	Change
Impacted GFS chapter	3.4.4 Instruction Maintenance	Update the conditions for the denial of an amendment instruction.
Impacted UDFS	1.6.1.4 Instruction Amendment 2.8 Send Amendment Instruction of a Settlement Instruction or of a Settlement Restriction on Securities Position	Update the conditions for the denial of an amendment instruction. Addition of new BRs SPST028 and SPST029
chapter	4.1 Index of Business Rules and Error Codes	Update the description of the BR which prevents the amendment of a Settlement Instruction in a CoSD group to allow the removal of the linkage if the instructing party is a CSD (MMIA009)
Additional deliveries for Message Specification	n/a	
UHB	2.2.2.4 Amendment Securities Instruction – New Screen 6.4.2.9 Amendment Securities Instruction – New Screen	Addition of BR MMIA009 to the Submit Button of the Amendment Securities New screen Addition of BR MMIA009 to the list of references for error messages for the Amendment Securities New screen

exception than in the amendment module.

No adverse effect was identified during security assessment.

Summary of project risk

Security analysis

External training materials	Instruction Maintenance Training: T2S_FA_WS 2_Part3_SETT_MTCH_MNTN_DIAPO; T2S_FA_WS 2_Part3_SETT_MTCH_MNTN_Webinar		Include the exception of the	ne unlink for the CoSD
Other				
documentations				
Links with other req	uests			
Links R	eference		Title	
OVERVIEW OF THE IMP	PACT OF THE REQUEST ON TH	HE T2S SYSTEM AND O	N THE PROJECT	
Summary of function	nal, development, infrastru	ucture and migration	impacts	
finality and ensure s when requested by As soon as the instr Settlement domain to In order to cope with example the CoSD	settlement, the module nee the CSD (Instructing Party ruction is matched, all mai thru the Amendment Requ in the parallelism, some ma	eds to be changed to y of the amendment ntenance actions intuest Interface. aintenance business be activating the Cos	D instructions. In order to control allow the unlink action of a has to be a CSD). Hended on that transaction as trules have been replicated SD flag of an instruction and	a CoSD Instruction are requested to I in Settlement, as for

Therefore, the amendment request interface needs to be enhanced to include the type of link (LINK or UNLINK) and the Instructing Party of the amendment instruction. This information will allow Settlement to replicate the same

Request: T2S 0565 SYS

DG - MARKET INFRASTRUCTURE & PAYMENTS MARKET INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT

ECB-PUBLIC

Request: T2S 0565 SYS



30 June 2016

Cost assessment on Change Requests

T2S-565-S	T2S-565-SYS – T2S should allow CSDs to remove links in instructions under CoSD			
One-off	Assessment cost* - Preliminary - Detailed	2,000.00 10,000.00	Euro Euro	
One-off	Project phase costs	225,609.65	Euro	
Annual	Running costs	23,945.01	Euro	

^{*}The relevant assessment costs will be charged regardless of whether the CR is implemented (Cf. T2S Framework Agreement, Schedule 7, par. 5.2.3).