T2S CHANGE REQUEST FORM				
General Information (Origin of Request)				
☐ User Requirements (URD) or GUI Business Functionality Document (BFD)				
☐ Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)				
Request raised by: CSDR-TF Institute: CS		SD	Date raised: 22/06/2020	
Request title: Bypass check on Issuance account for PFODs on a multi-issued ISIN			Request No.: T2S 0738 SYS	
Request type: Common	Classification	Classification: Regulatory compliance		Urgency: Fast track
1. Legal/business importance parameter <sup>1</sup> : High		2. Market implementation efforts parameter <sup>2</sup> : Low		
3. Operational/Technical risk parameter <sup>3</sup> : Low		4. Financial impact parameter <sup>4</sup> : Low-medium		
Requestor Category: Task force of the CSG		Status: Implemented		

#### Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

The T2S CSDR Task Force was established by the T2S Steering level, in order to identify the necessary changes to the T2S platform resulting from the CSD Regulation (CSDR), with the objective to facilitate T2S CSDs' timely compliance to CSDR.

The core of the work of the T2S CSDR Task Force is reflected in the T2S Penalty Mechanism's user requirements (CR654): it focuses on the daily calculation and reporting of cash penalties for settlement fails, a monthly reporting of the aggregated amounts of cash penalties computed for a given month as well as the operational tools which are necessary for T2S Actors.

As per article 17 of the Regulatory Technical Standards on Settlement Discipline (EU 2018/1229) "Collection and distribution of cash penalties", CSDs are required to collect cash penalties from failing CSD participants and redistribute the penalties to the non-failing CSD participants affected by the settlement fails, a minima on a monthly basis. While the collection and re-distribution of cash penalties is out of scope of the T2S Penalty Mechanism, T2S CSDs have later on decided to use Payment Free of Delivery (PFoD) settlement instruction in T2S, in order to support this process.

T2S CSDs have expressed the requirement to rely on a setup allowing multiple Issuer CSDs, as defined in Security CSD Link. This setup aims at minimising bilateral (Issuer-Investor CSD) Security CSD Link configuration and operational workload between CSDs.

As per current T2S functionality and documentation, in case of cross-CSD settlement instruction on a multi-issued ISIN, T2S requires the existence of an issuance account defined in the Security CSD Link when building the realignment chain if it detects that 2 CSDs in the realignment chain are defined as Issuer CSD for the ISIN. If this check is not successful, T2S automatically cancels the business instructions. The rationale is that settlement of the transaction will result in a necessary credit of the issuance account of one CSD and debit of the issuance account of another CSD in order to maintain the integrity of securities holdings in a multiple Issuer CSD configuration. In the case of a cross-CSD PFoD settlement instruction, as the settlement quantity is zero, no movement (debit/credit) between issuance accounts is booked and hence the check above is not necessary. Furthermore, the definition of an issuance account for a dummy ISIN turns out to pose technical/legal challenge for some T2S CSD(s).

Hence, it is required to amend the existing T2S static data validation at settlement level in order to provide the required flexibility for T2S CSDs to support the specific business case of (cross-)settlement of cash penalties in T2S relying on the use of a "dummy" ISIN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Legal/business importance parameter was set to [High] because [the CR is needed by CSDs to settle CSDR

penalties in the expected configuration]

<sup>2</sup> Market implementation effort parameter was set to [Low] because [no adaptation is needed from T2S actors as a result of the CR1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Operational/technical risk parameter was set to [Low] because [the change is limited to disabling an existing business rule in a specific scenario]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Low < 100kEUR < Low-Medium < 200 kEUR < Medium < 400kEUR < High < 700kEUR < Very high

#### Description of requested change:

T2S shall not perform the static data validation checks done at settlement level on the existence of an issuance account for cross-CSD settlement on multi-issued security, where 2 or more CSDs identified in the realignment chain are defined as Issuer CSD in the Security CSD Link, for PFOD instructions..

Hence, if there is a settlement instruction where the settlement quantity filled in the settlement instruction is zero (to restrict the business case to PFoD), then the static data validation checks made by T2S at settlement level on the existence of an issuance account when building the realignment chain in the specific cross-CSD settlement on multi-issued security shall not be performed.

The example below illustrates the expected behaviour:

- CSD1 is Issuer CSD with SME, no Issuance Account in the Issuer CSD link
- CSD2 is Investor CSD to CSD1 without SME, no Issuance Account in the Investor CSD link
- CSD3 has a "multi-Issuer CSD link setup" i.e. an Issuer CSD link, without SME, with or without Issuance Account in the Issuer CSD link
- With CR-738, a PFoD between CSD2 and CSD3 must settle, also between CSD1 and CSD3 and CSD1 and CSD2.

## **Proposed wording for the Change request:**

UDFS v 5.0, Section 1.6.1.10.3 Realignment process

A footnote should be added as follows:

The scenario is equivalent to scenario Cross 1 with additional T2S generated realignment Settlement Instructions to update the issuance accounts in each issuer CSD. The realignment process identifies the issuance account to be impacted based on the set up in the Security CSD link for the given security, the given issuer CSD and the link type "issuer" (see section. Configuration of Securities CSD Links [78]), with the following possible outcomes in each issuer CSD:

No issuance account is set up: the realignment process cannot generate the realignment instructions and cancels the business instructions\*,

I One issuance account is set up: the realignment process uses this account to generate the realignment instructions,

I Several issuances accounts are set up: the realignment process identifies the issuance account to be impacted using the "primary issuance account flag".

(\*) this check is ignored in case of PFOD

#### Submitted annexes / related documents:

### **Outcome/Decisions:**

- \* CRG 24 June 2020: The CRG agreed to follow a fast-track procedure and recommended the CR for authorisation by the T2S Steering Level
- \* PMG on 3 July 2020: Subject to the authorisation of CR-738 by the T2S Steering Level, the PMG launched the detailed assessment of the CR, and asked that the several proposals for deployment be considered, in particular a deployment between R4.2 and R4.3; and a deployment with R4.3.
- \* AMI-SeCo on 1 July 2020: The AMI-SeCo agreed with the recommendation of the CRG to authorise the CR.
- \* NECSG on 1 July 2020: The NECSG agreed to authorise the CR.
- \* CSG on 1 July 2020: The CSG agreed to authorise the CR.
- \* MIB on 9 July 2020: The MIB authorised the CR.
- \* CRG on 5 August 2020: the CRG recommended to the PMG the implementation of CR-738 in release 5.0.
- \* OMG on 13 August 2020: the OMG found no additional operational impact on the CR for R5.0.
- \* PMG on 13 August 2020: the PMG agreed to recommend to the T2S Steering Level to approve the inclusion of CR-738 in R5.0.
- \* CSG on 18 August 2020: The CSG approved the inclusion of the CR in the STP for R5.0.
- \* NECSG on 18 August 2020: The NECSG approved the inclusion of the CR in the STP for R5.0.
- \* MIB on 27 August 2020: the MIB approved the inclusion of CR-738 in the STP for R5.0.

# EUROSYSTEM ANALYSIS – GENERAL INFORMATION

	T2S Specific Components	Common Components
LCM		Common Components
LOIV	Instructions validation	-
	Status management	-
	Instruction matching	-
	Instruction maintenance	-
	Penalty Mechanism	-
	Ferfally Mechanism	
Sott	lement	
X	Standardisation and preparation to settlement	-
	Night-time Settlement	-
	Daytime Recycling and optimisation	-
	Daytime Validation, provisioning & booking	-
	Auto-collateralisation	-
	Auto-collateralisation	
Liau	idity Management	
Liqu	Outbound Information Management	-
	NCB Business Procedures	-
	Liquidity Operations	-
	Liquidity Operations	
T2S	Interface (as of June 2022 without Static Data	
	agement, Communication for SDMG, Scheduler,	
Billin		
	Communication	-
	Outbound Processing	-
	Inbound Processing	1
	insound i recessing	
Stati	ic Data Management (until June 2022)	Common Reference Data Management
		(from R6.0 June 2022)
	Party data management	Party data management
	Securities data management	Securities data management
	Cash account data management	Cash account data management
	Securities account data management	Securities account data management
	Rules and parameters data management	Rules and parameters data management
Stati	istics and archive	Statistics and archive
	Statistical information (until June 2022)	Short term statistical information
	Legal archiving (until June 2022)	Legal archiving (from R6.0)
	,	Data Warehouse (from R6.0)
Info	rmation (until June 2022 containing reference	CRDM business interface (from R6.0 June 2022)
data	)	
	Report management	Report management
	Query management	Query management
		Communication
		Outbound Processing
		Inbound Processing
Ope	rational Services	
	Data Migration (T2S DMT)	Data Migration (CRDM DMT, from R6.0)
	Scheduling (until June 2022)	Business Day Management (from R6.0)
		Business Day Management business interface
		(from R6.0)
	Billing (until June 2022)	Billing (from R6.0)
	Dilling (until June 2022)	Billing business interface (from R6.0)

Operationa	l Monitoring	Operational and Business Monitoring
OMEGA T	emplates	

Impact on major documentation					
Document	Chapter	C	hange		
Impacted UDFS chapter	1.6.1.10.3 Realignment pr	PI	A footnote should be added to exclude the PFODs from the check of issuance account for realignment in the case of a Multi-issued ISIN		
Links with other requests					
Links	Reference		Title		

## OVERVIEW OF THE IMPACT OF THE REQUEST ON THE T2S SYSTEM AND ON THE PROJECT

## Summary of functional, development, infrastructure and migration impacts

The impact consists in circumventing the current control on the issuance account in a multi-issuer configuration for the specific case of PFOD transactions in a cross-CSD configuration:

The current static data checks remain unchanged, but the control on the issuance account, unnecessary in that specific case, should be removed. The Issuance Account will remain optional (not allowed for Investor links or External CSD Issuers), and if several are specified one of them will have to be defined as Primary.

#### Main Cost drivers:

Modification of the control on the issuance account and validation of the expected functionality in the specific case of PFOD transactions in a cross-CSD configuration.

## Impact on other TARGET Services and projects

No impact

Summary of project risk

N.a.

Security analysis

No adverse effect has been identified during security assessment.



DG - MARKET INFRASTRUCTURE & PAYMENTS

**ECB-PUBLIC** 



28 July 2020

# **Cost assessment on Change Requests**

T2S-738-SYS – Bypass check on Issuance account for PFODs on a multi-issued ISIN				
0 "	Assessment costs*	0.000.00	_	
One-off	- Preliminary	2,000.00	Euro	
	- Detailed	10,000.00	Euro	
One-off	Development costs	193,474.67	Euro	
	Operational costs			
Annual	- Maintenance costs	18,319.27	Euro	
	- Running costs	0.00	Euro	

<sup>\*</sup>The relevant assessment costs will be charged regardless of whether the CR is implemented (Cf. T2S Framework Agreement, Schedule 7, par. 5.2.3).