Balance sheet policies and Central Bank losses in a HANK model

by C. Labrousse and Y. Perdereau

Discussant: Luigi Iovino

July 2024

ECB-IMF-IMFER

Global Challenges and Channels for Fiscal and Monetary Policy

"The problem with QE is that it works in practice, but it doesn't work in theory" (Ben Bernanke)

"The problem with QE is that it works in practice, but it doesn't work in theory" (Ben Bernanke)

The paper studies different QE/QT policies in an economy with

- distortionary taxes
- desire to self-insure (HANK model)
- money (focus on ZLB episode)

 \Rightarrow The details of the fiscal-monetary interaction matter

Consider the following experiment:

- During the ZLB episode the CB purchases bonds with money (perfect substitute with bonds)
- When the ZLB ends, the CB issues bond-like securities (perfect substitute with bonds)
- \Rightarrow **Important:** the CB
 - (i) rolls over its bonds forever
 - (ii) never stops sending profits to the Treasury

Understanding the Mechanism

 \Rightarrow **Important:** the CB

- (i) rolls over its bonds forever
- (ii) never stops sending profits to the Treasury

Understanding the Mechanism

 \Rightarrow Important: the CB

- (i) rolls over its bonds forever
- (ii) never stops sending profits to the Treasury

- suggests effects of QE depend on profits policy
- CB replaces taxes with seigniorage \Rightarrow Auerbach and Obstfeld (2005)

Understanding the Mechanism

 \Rightarrow Important: the CB

- (i) rolls over its bonds forever
- (ii) never stops sending profits to the Treasury

- suggests effects of QE depend on profits policy
- CB replaces taxes with seigniorage \Rightarrow Auerbach and Obstfeld (2005)
- but it also affects the total quantity of debt through the fiscal rule

Aiyagari et al. (2002)

distortionary taxation, no state-contingent debt

- tax distortions are minimized with non-stationary public debt
- a spending shock leaves a permanent mark on debt and taxes

Aiyagari et al. (2002)

distortionary taxation, no state-contingent debt

- tax distortions are minimized with non-stationary public debt
- a spending shock leaves a permanent mark on debt and taxes

Angeletos et al. (2023)

distortionary taxation, no state-contingent debt, liquidity service of debt

- trade-off tax distortions with "seigniorage" revenues
- lower accumulation of debt to keep rates low
- $\bullet\,$ public debt is stationary $\rightarrow\,$ returns to a long-run target

A Negative Spending Shock



Labrousse and Perdereau (2024)

distortionary taxation, no state-contingent debt, liquidity service of debt, money demand & ZLB $\,$

- can finance debt with distortionary taxes or inflation
- exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

Labrousse and Perdereau (2024)

distortionary taxation, no state-contingent debt, liquidity service of debt, money demand & ZLB $\,$

- can finance debt with distortionary taxes or inflation
- exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

$$d_t = (1+r_t)d_{t-1} + ar{G} - s_t^{CB} - au_t imes$$
 labor income $_t$ (budget)

$$au_t - ar{ au} =
ho_ au(au_{t-1} - ar{ au}) + (1 -
ho_ au) \gamma_d(d_{t-1} - ar{d})$$
 (fiscal rule)

 $\bullet\,$ public debt is stationary $\rightarrow\,$ returns to a long-run target

• exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

$$d_t = (1 + r_t)d_{t-1} + \bar{G} - s_t^{CB} - \tau_t imes$$
 labor income_t (budget)
 $au_t - \bar{ au} =
ho_ au(au_{t-1} - \bar{ au}) + (1 -
ho_ au)\gamma_d(d_{t-1} - \bar{d})$ (fiscal rule)

 \bullet public debt is stationary \rightarrow returns to a long-run target

exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

$$d_t = (1 + r_t)d_{t-1} + \bar{G} - s_t^{CB} - \tau_t imes$$
 labor income_t (budget)
 $au_t - \bar{ au} =
ho_ au(au_{t-1} - \bar{ au}) + (1 -
ho_ au)\gamma_d(d_{t-1} - \bar{d})$ (fiscal rule)

- $\bullet\,$ public debt is stationary $\rightarrow\,$ returns to a long-run target
- Are $\bar{\tau}$, \bar{d} optimal (or close to)?

exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

$$d_t = (1 + r_t)d_{t-1} + \bar{G} - s_t^{CB} - \tau_t imes$$
 labor income_t (budget)
 $au_t - \bar{ au} =
ho_ au(au_{t-1} - \bar{ au}) + (1 -
ho_ au)\gamma_d(d_{t-1} - \bar{d})$ (fiscal rule)

- $\bullet\,$ public debt is stationary $\rightarrow\,$ returns to a long-run target
- Are $\bar{\tau}$, \bar{d} optimal (or close to)?
- Is tax/debt dynamics optimal (or close to)?

exogenous fiscal rule determines taxes and debt

$$d_t = (1 + r_t)d_{t-1} + \bar{G} - s_t^{CB} - \tau_t imes$$
 labor income_t (budget)
 $au_t - \bar{ au} =
ho_ au(au_{t-1} - \bar{ au}) + (1 -
ho_ au)\gamma_d(d_{t-1} - \bar{d})$ (fiscal rule)

- $\bullet\,$ public debt is stationary $\rightarrow\,$ returns to a long-run target
- Are $\overline{\tau}$, \overline{d} optimal (or close to)?
- Is tax/debt dynamics optimal (or close to)?
- In general, is CB "fixing" sub-optimality of fiscal rule?

Private vs Public Value of Liquidity

Not all ZLB episodes are the same:

- in a financial crisis liquidity likely to be scarce
- increasing supply of government bonds tends to by optimal

Private vs Public Value of Liquidity

Not all ZLB episodes are the same:

- in a financial crisis liquidity likely to be scarce
- increasing supply of government bonds tends to by optimal



Regular recession (dashed), financial crisis (solid). Source: Angeletos et al. (2023).

Private vs Public Value of Liquidity

Not all ZLB episodes are the same:

- in a financial crisis liquidity likely to be scarce
- increasing supply of government bonds tends to by optimal



Regular recession (dashed), financial crisis (solid). Source: Angeletos et al. (2023).